

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,275		05/31/2001	Stuart W. Sherlock	10559-421001/P10435	2606
25694	7590	03/05/2004		EXAMINER	
INTEL CORPORATION				TSAI, CAROL S W	
P.O. BOX 5326 SANTA CLARA, CA 95056-5326				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2857	
				DATE MAILED: 02/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/872,275	SHERLOCK, STUART W.					
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carol S Tsai	2857					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consections	idered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	c(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered and an ow or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 2,12 and 22.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-11,13-21 and 23-30</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:		MARC S. HOFF JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800					



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments filed 12/10/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argue that Talwar does not teach identifying a smallest of the difference between the transmitted and the received waveforms because the words "identifying", "identify", "identifies", and "identified" were not found in Talwar patent after Applicant performed an electronic search of the text of Talwar Patent 4,952,193 as obtained from the USPTO Full-Text and Image Database. The Examiner disagrees with Applicant. Although the words "identifying", "identify", "identifies", and "identified" are not included in Talwar patent; however, the claimed invention and Talwar are not patentably distinct from each other because they set forth subject matters which are obvious over each other and only differ in breadth of terminology used. As set forth in the Final Rejection mailed 09/10/2003, Hall et al. disclose the claimed invention except for identifying a smallest of the differences between the transmitted and received waveforms. Talwar teaches identifying a smallest of the differences between the transmitted and received waveforms (see col. 6, line 65 to col. 7, line 36), in order to minimize the corresponding multiple signal components of the received signal caused by the interfering signal from a radio transmitter. Therefore, the combination of Hall et al. and Talwar clearly teach the claimed invention..

SUPERVISO TECHNOLOGY